WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
N	lestor Rafael Campos-Medina	Case Number: <u>09-6118M</u>	
present and w	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 314 vas represented by counsel. I conclude by a ne defendant pending trial in this case.	42(f), a detention hearing was held on March 13, 2009. Defendant was preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
		NDINGS OF FACT	
I find by a pre	ponderance of the evidence that:		
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the Unit	ed States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear	r in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law e	empted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of _	years imprisonment.	
The C at the time of	the hearing in this matter, except as noted if	findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Cour in the record. CLUSIONS OF LAW	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendan No condition or combination of conditions		
a corrections f appeal. The c of the United S defendant to t	facility separate, to the extent practicable, fro defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opp States or on request of an attorney for the G the United States Marshal for the purpose of APPEALS AN	Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending cortunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour overnment, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the fan appearance in connection with a court proceeding. ND THIRD PARTY RELEASE	
deliver a copy Court.	of the motion for review/reconsideration to I	tention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric	
Services suffi	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a t ciently in advance of the hearing before the e potential third party custodian.	third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DAT	ED this 13 th day of March, 2009.		
		August 1980 and 1980	

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge